

Quantity and Conformation of Lysozyme Deposited on Conventional and Silicone Hydrogel Contact Lens Materials Using an *in vitro Model*



Maciej Suwala, Mary-Ann Glasier, Lakshman Subbaraman, Lyndon Jones Centre for Contact Lens Research, School of Optometry, University of Waterloo

Introduction & Purpose

□ Protein deposits can result in discomfort, reduced visual acuity and giant papillary conjunctivitis. ¹⁻⁴

□ Silicone hydrogel (SH) lenses exhibit differing deposition profiles to that seen with conventional lenses. ⁵⁻⁹

□ In our previous work investigating protein deposition on SH materials, ⁵⁻⁷ we have used an extraction buffer developed by Keith and colleagues. ¹⁰ However, this buffer is not compatible with all SH materials (data on file).

The purpose of this study was to:

□ determine the quantity and activity of hen egg lysozyme (HEL) deposited on conventional and SH materials using an *in vitro* model.

Dinvestigate the ability (and compatibility) of a new modified extraction buffer consisting of 50:50 acetonitrile: 0.02% trifluoroacetic acid to extract protein from certain SH contact lens materials.

Methods & Materials

Conventional Materials:

Acuvue® 2 - Etafilcon A; Group IV; AV2

Proclear® Compatibles - Omafilcon A; Group II; PC
SH Materials:

□Acuvue® Advance[™] - Galyfilcon A; Group I; AA

■Acuvue® OASYS[™] - Senofilcon A; Group I; AO

□Focus® Night & Day™ - Lotrafilcon A; Group I; FND

Optix[™] - Lotrafilcon B; Group I; O2

■PureVision[™] - Balafilcon A;Group III; PV

□ Lenses (n=6) were doped *in vitro* in PBS (pH 7.4) containing HEL (Sigma; 2mg/ml) for 17 days at 37°C with constant shaking.

□ Following doping, lenses were rinsed briefly with 1X PBS to remove any residual HEL.

□ Rinsed lenses were placed in Kimble vials filled with the extraction buffer, as seen in Table 1.

Table 1: Optimal extraction buffer and volumes

Lens	Buffer	Volume (ml)
Acuvue 2	ACN:0.2% TFA	4
PureVision	ACN:0.2% TFA	1.5
Focus Night&Day	ACN:0.2% TFA	1.5
O2 Optix	ACN:0.2% TFA	1.5
Acuvue Advance	ACN:0.02% TFA	1.5
Acuvue OASYS	ACN:0.02% TFA	1.5
Proclear	ACN:0.2% TFA	1.5

□ The vials with the lenses were stored in the dark for 24 hours to allow for extraction of HEL from the lenses.

□ AO, FND, and O2 were also extracted with 1.5ml buffer containing 200µg bovine serum albumin, due to the low mass of protein present.

Extracts were lyophilized to dryness and quantified for total HEL protein and activity.

Lyophilized extracts were re-suspended in dilution buffer (DB; 10mM Tris, pH 8.0 plus 1mM EDTA).

□ Percent activity was assayed using a micro *Micrococcus lysodeikticus* assay with HEL as the standard (HEL standard was subject to the same conditions as the lenses).

□ Western blotting was performed to determine total HEL protein using HEL as the standard, as seen in Figure 1.

□ Immunoreactivity was visualized with ECL Plus chemiluminescent substrate. Optical densities of the resulting bands were quantified from digitized images on a Molecular® Dynamics StormTM 840 Imaging System using ImageQuantTM 5.1.

Figure 1: Schematic of method



Figure 2: Typical Western Blot Showing Amount of HEL extracted, with standard curve plot below using lanes #1-4 for HEL standard

(Lane 1: 25ng; Lane 2: 12.5ng; Lane 3: 6.25ng; Lane 4: 3.125ng). Lanes 5-8 consist of Acuvue OASYS samples. The standard curve plot below was used to quantify total HEL adsorbed onto contact lenses.



Results

□ Lysozyme deposited on AV2 exhibited the greatest activity (91±5%) and this was statistically different from all other lens types (p<0.001), as shown in Figure 3.

□ The lowest activity of the lysozyme deposited was found on FND (24±5%) and O2 (23±11%). Lysozyme deposited on other lens materials exhibited intermediate activity (AA, 60±15; AO, 51±9; PV, 58±8; and PC, 38±3%.

□ In terms of total lysozyme accumulation, AV2 showed the most, with 1800µg, PC and PV the next with 68µg and 44µg respectively. FND deposited the least, with 2µg. AO, O2, and AA accumulated similar amounts of lysozyme, approximately 6 - 9µg, as shown in Figure 4.

Figure 3: Percent Activity



Figure 4: Total Lysozyme



Conclusions

□ Silicone hydrogels deposit lower amounts of lysozyme than either conventional Group II (PC) or Group IV (AV2) lenses, and the level of lysozyme denaturation varies with the composition of the SH material.

References

- Jones L et al. Spoilation and clinical performance of monthly vs three monthly group II disposable contact lenses. Optom Vis Sci 1996; 73: 16-21.
- Gellatly K et al. Visual decrement with deposit accumulation of HEMA contact lenses. Am J Optom Physiol Opt 1988; 65: 937-941.
- Pritchard N et al. Ocular and subjective responses to frequent replacement of daily wear soft contact lenses. CLAO J 1996; 22: 53-59.
- Donshik P. Contact lens chemistry and giant papillary conjunctivitis. Eye Contact Lens 2003; 29: S37-39; discussion S37-39, S192-194.
- Subbaraman L, Senchyna M, Glasier M, et al. Kinetics of in vitro lysozyme deposition on silicone hydrogel, group II and group IV contact lens materials. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2005 (ARVO abstract #910).
- Senchyna M, Jones L, Louie D, et al. Quantitative and conformational characterization of lysozyme deposited on balafilcon and etaflicon contact lens materials. Curr Eye Res 2004;28(1):25-36.
- Jones L, Senchyna M, Glasier MA, et al. Lysozyme and lipid deposition on silicone hydrogel contact lens materials. Eye Contact Lens 2003;29(1 Suppl):S75-S9.
- Sack R et al. Specificity and biological activity of the protein deposited on the hydrogel surface. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 1987; 28 (5):842-849.
 Minno G et al. Quantitative analysis of protein depositis on hydrophilic soft contact lenses: I.
- Minno G et al. Quantitative analysis of protein deposits on hydrophilic soft contact lenses: I. Comparison to visual methods of analysis. II. Deposit variation among FDA lens material groups. Optom Vis Sci 1991 68;11: 865-872.
- Keith D et al. A novel procedure for the extraction of proteins from soft hydrophilic contact lenses for analysis. Curr. Eye Res. 1997; (16): 503-510.

Acknowledgements

Funding for the study was provided by NSERC Canada

C 2006 CCLR - Centre for Contact Lens Research, University of Waterloo

All rights reserved. All data and images were collected, compiled and are exclusively owned, by the CCLR. Unauthorized utilization, editing, reproduction or distribution of this poster or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and any infringement of copyright may result in legal action.